Army/Navy eBusiness Conference

Navy Track

Welcome Aboard

Eric Ferraro (PEO-EIS)

Bruce Lowrey and Juan Arratia (DASN ALM)

PEO EIS

ENTERPRISE INFORMATION SYSTEMS
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

SPS/WAWF Project Director Update

Eric Ferraro

30 July 2008

eBusiness Conference Goals
"The Cadence of Change"

- Bring Army/Navy community together
  - Capitalize on Army SPS conference logistics support team
- Share lessons learned and disseminate new information about the eBusiness programs affecting the contracting community
- *Improve performance, reduce costs, support the mission*!

***Your feedback is critical*!**

Standard Procurement System

v4.2.2 SR08(b) Upgrades

- 28 Server Sites Upgraded
  - Upgrade process went well
    - NMCI Enterprise Radia Push did not!
  - Integrity Tool Problem
    - Highlighted need for JPMO to “own”
  - Slow performance at NAVSEA
    - Embedded images in documents (known problem)
  - ➢More testing prior to acceptance needed
- Military Sealift Command and NAVFAC still on v4.2.2 SR07
  - JPMO Help Desk Support for SR07 ends 30 Sept
  - NRT will provide ongoing support

v4.2.2 SR09

- *Second Round of Testing needed (4 Aug-5 Sep)*
- Upgrade process testing at SSC San Diego
- Phase I archiving testing by Navy at GTF
- Functional Navy testing at GTF
- Navy ERP Integration testing at NAVAIR
- Expect upgrade schedule to run from December 2008 to April 2009
  - ***More complex upgrade***
    - Similar to upgrade from v4.1e to v4.2.2 SR05_
  - ***Potential for more hardware!!!***
    - Attend the SR09 breakout sessions

SPS Training Update

- Six SPS v4.2.2 classes planned in FY 2009
  - Jointly funded by Army, Navy, Air Force, ODA, JPMO
  - Two contracts classes (Dec and Feb)
  - Two System Administration classes (Jan and May)
  - Database Administration (March)
  - Adapter (April)
- On-site training classes can be purchased via JPMO contract
- Web based SPS training on DAU portal
  - Delayed until December
  - Contracts Class may be on-line soon

Changes for 2009

- Re-locate Navy Test Site
  - Expand testing capabilities
  - Reduce costs
  - More Echelon II involvement
- Increase Navy Response Team "Navy ERP to SPS" Interface expertise

DoD CWS Strategy

- DoD has not been successful in it's attempt to standardize a contract writing system.
  - Our business is just too complicated
  - We need to move away from client/server architecture to web-based architecture
- DoD is working to establish Procurement Data Standards
  - Will be completed and implemented in discrete phases
  - First Phase is currently being vetted and can be found on the DPAP website under the E-Business tab: http://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/pdi/eb/procurement_data_standard.html
  - Once data standards are in place, we can use a variety of CWS as long as they map to the standard.
- An UBER database is coming our way.
- A web-based successor to SPS is in the planning stages
  - SAP, Oracle, CACI, IBM and Compusearch may pursue strategic teaming opportunities
  - What will Navy's strategy be?

Wide Area Workflow

WAWF Implementation Status

- 60% of all invoices in WAWF Navy wide
- NAVFAC last major Echelon II command yet to implement
  - v4.0 (August 2008) provides functionality
  - Represents about 12% of Navy invoices

WAWF Sustainment

- Expand communications and support with DFAS
- Reduce WAWF requirements (contractor) support
- “Institutionalize” WAWF to be self sustaining by 2010

WAWF Requirements (DASN ALM)

- Lack of Capabilities in WAWF forced NAVY to sponsor ECP's:
  - Grants (WAWF 3.0.12)
  - LPO Functionality (WAWF 3.0.12)
  - Attachment Visibility (WAWF 4.0)
  - Construction (WAWF 4.0)
  - Shipbuilding (WAWF 4.1)
- ECP's to WAWF are expensive
- DOD should provide funding to expand WAWF Capabilities

NAVY WAWF Challenges

- Need to interface WAWF to numerous systems.
  - NAVY ERP, ILSMIS, FIS, ONEPAY, STARS HQ & FL
- Variation in Contract Writing Processes.
  - Standardization has been difficult.
- Activating WAWF DODAAC's in Operating Forces
  - Look at Supply System Receipt as WAWF acceptance

NAVY WAWF Policy Challenges

- Recognition of valid exceptions to using WAWF
- Limited functional ability to process multiple, direct site LOAs.
  - ONEPAY Limitation
- Challenges with Cost Type Contracts

WAWF Challenges

- Benefits of WAWF vs. cost of WAWF implementation
  - Benefits:
    - Lower interest cost
    - Transparent process
  - Cost
    - Reliance on Contractor Personnel
    - Lack of end to end Contract Data requiring data entry.

Questions?